



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,871	02/04/2004	Joseph W. Pieczynski II	ZIM0586	3761
43963 7590 09/03/2008 ZIMMER TECHNOLOGY - BAKER & DANIELS 111 EAST WAYNE STREET, SUITE 800 FORT WAYNE, IN 46802				
EXAMINER				
WOODALL, NICHOLAS W				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3733				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/03/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/771,871

Applicant(s)

PIECZYNSKI ET AL.

Examiner

Nicholas Woodall

Art Unit

3733

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 34-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 34-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SG/US)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 07/15/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.


3. Claims 34-37 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mumme (U.S. Patent 5,423,827).

Regarding claim 34, Mumme discloses a device comprising a body, a moveable tube, and a retractable nail (see Figure 1 below). The body includes a bottom surface and an opening. The moveable tube is positioned in the body. The retractable nail includes a shoulder, wherein the retractable nail is at least partially positioned within the tube and is capable of being urged such that an end of the nail extends beyond the bottom surface of the body. Regarding claim 35, Mumme discloses a device further comprising a retaining ring positioned in a recess formed in the body adjacent the bottom surface of the body capable of engaging the bottom surface of the retractable nail shoulder. Regarding claim 36, Mumme discloses a device wherein the tube includes an internal shoulder capable of engaging an upper surface of the retractable nail shoulder. Regarding claim 37, Mumme discloses a device wherein the tube has an external shoulder that is capable of engaging an internal shoulder of the opening. Regarding claim 41, Mumme discloses a device comprising a body, a moveable tube,

Art Unit: 3733

and a retractable nail. The body includes a bottom surface and an opening formed in the body. The opening includes an internal shoulder. The moveable tube is positioned in the opening. The moveable tube includes an internal shoulder and an external shoulder. The retractable nail is at least partially positioned within the tube. The retractable nail includes a shoulder with a top surface and a bottom surface, wherein the retractable nail is capable of being urged such that an end of the nail extends beyond the bottom surface of the body, the top surface of the shoulder is capable of engaging the internal shoulder of the tube, and wherein the external shoulder on the tube is capable of engaging the internal shoulder of the opening. Regarding claim 42, Mumme discloses a device further comprising a retaining ring positioned in a recess formed in the body adjacent the bottom surface of the body, wherein the ring is capable of engaging the bottom surface of the retractable nail shoulder.

Figure 1



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 38-40 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mumme (U.S. Patent 5,423,827).

Regarding claims 38 and 43, Mumme discloses a device comprising a drill guide (106 and 108) coupled to the body and capable of being positioned within the body. Regarding claims 39 and 44, Mumme discloses a device wherein the drill guide is capable of providing a guide for a femur post hole to be formed in a femur. Regarding

claims 40 and 45, Mumme discloses a device wherein the drill guide is capable of being positioned on a moveable body (102) positioned in a recess (82) formed in a top surface of the body. Regarding claims 38-40 and 43-45, Mumme discloses the invention as claimed except for the device comprising a plurality of drill guides. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the device of Mumme comprising a plurality of drill guides, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 07/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argument that the Mumme reference does not disclose a device comprising a retractable nail **adapted to be urged to a position such that an end of the nail extends beyond the bottom surface of the body** is not persuasive (emphasis added by examiner). First, the examiner would like to note that the emphasized limitations are functionally recited, i.e. adapted to..., and therefore the elements of the reference only need to be capable of performing the function. As stated by the applicant the element interpreted by the examiner as the "nail" is press fit into the central bore of the body and holds a drill guide in place. As further stated, the drill guide also includes a compression spring, wherein the compression spring allows movement of the drill guide along the "nail". The examiner would like to note that the compression spring would also allow movement of the "nail" along the drill guide until the head of the nail engaged the shoulder of the central bore. Therefore, the "nail" is fully capable of

being positioned such that the distal end of the "nail" is extended beyond the bottom surface of the body and fully meets the limitations of the claims as written. The examiner would like to note that no new grounds of rejection have been presented making this office action **FINAL**.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Woodall whose telephone number is (571)272-5204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 to 5:30 EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas Woodall/

Examiner, Art Unit 3733

/Eduardo C. Robert/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733